arXiv:math/0504489v1 [math.CV] 24 Apr 2005

Hartogs Type Theorems on Coverings of Stein Manifolds

Alexander Brudnyi*
Department of Mathematics and Statistics
University of Calgary, Calgary
Canada

Abstract

We prove an analog of the classical Hartogs extension theorem for certain (possibly unbounded) domains on coverings of Stein manifolds.

1. Introduction.

Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ $(n > 1)$ be a bounded open set with a connected smooth boundary bD. The classical Hartogs theorem states that any holomorphic function in some neighbourhood of bD can be extended to a holomorphic function on a neighbourhood of the closure $\overline{D}$. The first rigorous proof of this theorem was given by Brown in 1936 see, e.g., [F]. In [Bo] Bochner proved a similar extension result for functions defined on the bD only. In modern language his result says that for a smooth function defined on the bD and satisfying the tangential Cauchy-Riemann equations there is an extension to a holomorphic function in D which is smooth on $\overline{D}$. In fact, this statement follows from Bochner's proof (under some smoothness conditions). However at that time there was not yet the notion of a CR-function. Over the years significant contributions to the area of Hartogs theorem were made by many prominent mathematicians, see the history and the references in the paper of Harvey and Lawson [HL, Section 5]. A general Hartogs-Bochner type theorem for bounded domains D in Stein manifolds is proved by Harvey and Lawson [HL, Theorem 5.1]. The proof relies heavily upon the fact that for $n \geq 2$ any $\overline{\partial}$-equation with compact support on a Stein manifold has a compactly supported solution. In this paper we present a Hartogs type theorem for certain (possibly unbounded) domains on coverings of Stein manifolds which gives an extension of the above cited result of [HL]. In order to formulate this theorem we first introduce some notation and basic definitions.

*Research supported in part by NSERC.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 32V25. Secondary 32A40.
Key words and phrases. CR-function, covering, Stein manifold, Lipschitz function

Let $r : M' \to M$ be an unbranched covering of a Stein manifold M of complex dimension $n \geq 2$. Let $D \subset M'$ be a domain with a connected piecewise smooth boundary bD such that $r(D) \subset\subset M$. Assume that M is equipped with a Riemannian metric g_M. By d we denote the path metric on M' induced by the pullback of g_M. For a fixed $o \in D$ we set $d_o(z) := d(o, z)$, $z \in M'$. Also, by $\overline{D} \subset M'$ we denote the closure of D and by $\mathcal{O}(D)$ the space of holomorphic functions on D. Next, recall that a continuous function f on bD is called CR if for every smooth $(n, n-2)$-form ω on M' with a compact support one has

$$\int_{bD} f \cdot \overline{\partial}\omega = 0\,.$$

If f and bD are smooth this is equivalent to f being a solution of the tangential CR-equations: $\overline{\partial}_b f = 0$ (see, e.g., [KR]).

Suppose that $f \in C(bD)$ is a CR-function satisfying for some positive numbers c_1, c_2, δ the following conditions

(1)
$$|f(z)| \leq e^{c_1 e^{c_2 d_o(z)}} \quad \text{for all} \quad z \in bD\,;$$

(2) for any $z_1, z_2 \in bD$ with $d(z_1, z_2) \leq \delta$
$$|f(z_1) - f(z_2)| \leq e^{c_1 e^{c_2 \max\{d_o(z_1), d_o(z_2)\}}} d(z_1, z_2)\,.$$

Theorem 1.1 *There is a constant $c > 0$ such that for any CR-function f on bD satisfying conditions (1) and (2) with $c_2 < c$ there exists $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{O}(D) \cap C(\overline{D})$ such that*

$$\hat{f}|_{bD} = f \quad \text{and} \quad |\hat{f}(z)| \leq e^{\widetilde{c_1} e^{c_2 d_o(z)}} \quad \text{for all} \quad z \in D$$

with $\widetilde{c}_1$ depending on c_1, c_2, δ and c.

Remark 1.2 (A) If, in addition, bD is smooth of class C^k, $1 \leq k \leq \infty$, and $f \in C^s(bD)$, $1 \leq s \leq k$, then the extension $\widehat{f}$ belongs to $\mathcal{O}(D) \cap C^s(\overline{D})$. This follows from [HL, Theorem 5.1].
(B) Condition (2) means that f is locally Lipschitz with local Lipschitz constants growing double exponentially.

Corollary 1.3 *Assume that instead of condition (1) the function f in Theorem 1.1 satisfies the weaker condition*

(1′)
$$|f(z)| \leq e^{\phi(z)} \quad \text{for all} \quad z \in bD$$

where $\phi : M' \to \mathbb{R}$ is a uniformly continuous function with respect to d.

Then there is a constant C (depending on M, M' and ϕ only) and a function $\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{O}(D) \cap C(\overline{D})$ such that

$$\widehat{f}|_{bD} = f \quad \text{and} \quad |\widehat{f}(z)| \leq C e^{\phi(z)} \quad \text{for all} \quad z \in D$$

with $C = 1$ for $\phi \equiv const$.

2. Proofs.

2.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since $r(D) \subset\subset M$, there is a strictly pseudoconvex domain $S \subset\subset M$ such that $r(D) \subset\subset S$. Let S' be a connected component of $r^{-1}(S) \subset M'$ containing D. Then $r : S' \to S$ is an unbranched covering of S. Further, it follows from [Br1, Theorem 2.1] that there is a function $g \in \mathcal{O}(S') \cap C(\overline{S'})$ and a constant $C = C(S', M')$ such that

$$|g(z) - d_o(z)| < C \quad \text{and} \quad |dg(z)| < C \quad \text{for all} \quad z \in S'. \tag{2.1}$$

(Here the norm $|\omega(z)|$ of a differential form ω at $z \in S'$ is determined with respect to the Riemannian metric pulled back from M.) From the first inequality in (2.1) one obtains, see [Br1, Example 4.3], that *there is a constant* $c > 0$ *such that for any* $c_1 > 0$ *and* $0 < c_2 < c$

$$e^{c_1 e^{c_2 d_o(z)}} \leq \left| e^{c_1' e^{c_2 g(z)}} \right| \leq e^{c_1'' e^{c_2 d_o(z)}} \quad \text{for all} \quad z \in S' \ ; \tag{2.2}$$

here c_1', c_1'' are positive constants depending on c_1, c_2, c so that $c_1'' \to 0$ as $c_1 \to 0$. We set

$$G_{c_1,c_2}(z) := e^{-c_1' e^{c_2 g(z)}} \ , \quad z \in S' \ . \tag{2.3}$$

Let us choose c in Theorem 1.1 to be the same as in (2.2). Retaining the notation of Theorem 1.1 consider the function

$$f_1(z) := f(z) \cdot G_{c_1 e^{2c_2\delta}, c_2}(z) \ , \quad z \in bD \ .$$

Lemma 2.1 *f_1 is a bounded Lipschitz CR-function on bD.*

Proof. Condition (1) for f and the definition of f_1 imply that

$$|f_1(z)| \leq 1 \quad \text{for all} \quad z \in bD \ .$$

Thus to show that f_1 is Lipschitz it suffices to check the Lipschitz condition for all pairs $z_1, z_2 \in bD$ with $d(z_1, z_2) \leq \delta$. For such pairs we have

$$|f_1(z_1) - f_1(z_2)| \leq |f(z_1) - f(z_2)| \cdot |G_{c_1 e^{2c_2\delta}, c_2}(z_1)| +$$

$$|f(z_2)| \cdot |G_{c_1 e^{2c_2\delta}, c_2}(z_1) - G_{c_1 e^{2c_2\delta}, c_2}(z_2)| := I + II \ .$$

Using condition (2) for f, (2.2) and the triangle inequality we obtain

$$I \leq e^{c_1 (e^{c_2 \max\{d_o(z_1), d_o(z_2)\}} - e^{c_2 (d_o(z_1) + 2\delta)})} d(z_1, z_2) \leq d(z_1, z_2) \ . \tag{2.4}$$

To estimate II note that according to (2.1) and (2.2) we have

$$|dG_{c_1,c_2}(z)| = | - c_1' c_2 G_{c_1,c_2}(z) e^{c_2 g(z)} dg(z)| \leq c_1' c_2 C e^{-c_1 e^{c_2 d_o(z)} + c_2 (C + d_o(z))} \ .$$

From here we obtain (for some $c_3 > 0$)

$$|dG_{c_1 e^{2c_2\delta}, c_2}(z)| \leq c_3 e^{-c_1 e^{c_2 (\delta + d_o(z))}} \quad \text{for all} \quad z \in S' \ . \tag{2.5}$$

Further, since $\overline{r(D)} \subset S$ is compact, for a sufficiently small δ the metric d is geodesic in any metric ball B_δ on S' of radius δ centered at a point D. (This follows from the definition of d.) Without loss of generality we will assume that this δ is the same as in Theorem 1.1. Thus integrating inequality (2.5) along geodesics in S' we get

$$II \le e^{c_1 e^{c_2 d_o(z_2)}} c_3 e^{-c_1 e^{c_2(\delta + (d_o(z_2) - \delta))}} d(z_1, z_2) = c_3 d(z_1, z_2) \ . \tag{2.6}$$

Now the Lipschitz condition for f_1 follows from inequalities (2.4) and (2.6). The fact that f_1 is CR follows directly from its definition. $\square$

Based on this lemma we reduce the required statement to an extension theorem for the function f_1. Namely we will show

Claim. *Under the hypotheses of the lemma there is a function $\widehat{f}_1 \in \mathcal{O}(D) \cap C(\overline{D})$ such that*

$$\widehat{f}_1|_{bD} = f_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_D |\widehat{f}_1| = \sup_{bD} |f_1| \ .$$

Then the function $\widehat{f} := \widehat{f}_1 / G_{c_1 e^{2c_2\delta}, c_2}$ is the required extension of Theorem 1.1.

To establish this claim, first, using the McShane theorem [M] let us extend f_1 to a Lipschitz function $\widetilde{f}_1$ on S' with the same Lipschitz constant as for f_1. Since locally the metric d is equivalent to the Euclidean metric, by the Rademacher theorem, see, e.g., [Fe, Section 3.1.6], the function $\widetilde{f}_1$ is differentiable almost everywhere. In particular, $\overline{\partial}\widetilde{f}_1$ is a $(0,1)$-form on S' whose coefficients in its local coordinate representations are L^∞-functions. Let χ_D be the characteristic function of D. Consider the $(0,1)$-form on S' defined by

$$\omega := \chi_D \cdot \overline{\partial}\widetilde{f}_1 \ .$$

Lemma 2.2 *ω is $\overline{\partial}$-closed in the distributional sense.*

Proof. We must prove that

$$\int_{S'} \omega \wedge \overline{\partial}\phi = 0 \tag{2.7}$$

for every $(2n-1)$-form ϕ of class C^∞ with a compact support on S' (recall that $dim_{\mathbb{C}} S' = n$). Comparing types of the forms in (2.7) we see that, in fact, it suffices to prove the latter identity for ϕ of type $(n, n-2)$. Since this problem is local, it suffices to prove (2.7) for ϕ supported in a sufficiently small neighbourhood of a point of S'. Further, by the definition of ω applying the Stokes formula we get that identity (2.7) is valid for ϕ supported in a sufficiently small neighbourhood of a point of D. Thus it remains to consider the case of ϕ supported in a sufficiently small neighbourhood U_z of a boundary point $z \in bD$. (Without loss of generality we may assume that U_z is a coordinate neighbourhood.) Thus we have

$$\int_{S'} \omega \wedge \overline{\partial}\phi = \int_{U_z} \omega \wedge \overline{\partial}\phi = \int_{U_z \cap D} \overline{\partial}\widetilde{f}_1 \wedge \overline{\partial}\phi = \int_{U_z \cap D} d(\widetilde{f}_1 \cdot \overline{\partial}\phi) = \int_{U_z \cap bD} f_1 \cdot \overline{\partial}\phi = 0 \ .$$

We used here that f_1 is CR and the Stokes formula. $\square$

Remark 2.3 Normally, the Stokes formula is applied to smooth forms. However, it is also valid for forms with Lipschitz coefficients. To see this we first apply it to sequences of regularized forms obtained from these Lipschitz forms and then pass to the limit as the parameter of the regularization tends to 0. To justify this procedure one uses the fact that for a Lipschitz function f on a bounded domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ the sequence $\{f_\epsilon\}$ of regularizations of f converges uniformly to f on every compact subset of D as $\epsilon \to 0$. Moreover, the sequence $\{df_\epsilon\}$ is uniformly bounded on every compact subset of D and converges almost everywhere to df (see, e.g., [Fe, Section 4.1.2]).

Lemma 2.4 *There is a bounded continuous function $\widetilde{F}$ on S' equals 0 on $S' \setminus D$ such that $\overline{\partial}\widetilde{F} = \omega$ in the distributional sense.*

Proof. Let us consider a finite open cover $\mathcal{U} = (U_i)_{i\in I}$ of a neighbourhood N of $\overline{S}$ such that each U_i is relatively compact in a simply connected coordinate chart on M and in these local coordinates is identified with an open Euclidean ball in $\mathbb{C}^n$. By $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}$ we denote the open cover $(r^{-1}(U_i))_{i\in I}$ of $N' := r^{-1}(N)$. In every connected component V of $r^{-1}(U_i)$ we introduce the local coordinates obtained by the pullback of the coordinates on U_i. (Note that $r|_V : V \to U_i$ is biholomorphic.) By the definition of $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}$ in every such V the metric d is equivalent to the Euclidean distance on $\mathbb{C}^n$ with the constants of the equivalence depending on U_i only. Since f_1 is Lipschitz, this implies that in V the form ω is written as

$$\omega(z) := \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j(z) d\overline{z}_j \quad \text{with} \quad \sup_{z\in V, 1\le j\le n} |a_j(z)| \le C \; ; \tag{2.8}$$

here $z_1, \ldots, z_n$ are the above introduced local coordinates on V and the constant C is independent of the choice of V and U_i. Based on Lemma 2.2 and using (2.8) one can solve the equation $\overline{\partial}F = \omega$ on V to obtain a solution F_V which is an L^∞-function on V satisfying

$$\sup_{z\in V} |F_V(z)| \le C' \tag{2.9}$$

where C' depends on C and n only, see, e.g., [H, Theorem 6.9]. (Here F_V is the solution in the distributional sense.) Moreover, if we identify V with the unit Euclidean ball $B \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ we can find such a solution F_V by the formula

$$F_V(z) = \frac{n!}{(2\pi i)^n} \int_{(\xi,\lambda_0)\in B\times[0,1]} \omega(\xi) \times \eta\left((1-\lambda_0)\frac{\overline{\xi}-\overline{z}}{|\xi - z|^2} + \lambda_0 \frac{\overline{\xi}}{1 - < \overline{\xi}, z >}\right) \wedge \eta(\xi) \; ,$$

see, e.g., [H, Section 4.2]; here for $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_n)$ and $w = (w_1, \ldots, w_n)$

$$\eta(v) = dv_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dv_n \; , \quad < v, w > = \sum_{j=1}^{n} v_j \cdot w_j \quad \text{and} \quad |v|^2 = < v, v > \; .$$

Since the coefficients of ω are L^∞-functions, the above formula implies also that F_V is continuous on V. Indeed to show that F_V is continuous at $z_0 \in V$ consider a sequence $\{z_i\}$ convergent to z. Without loss of generality we assume that $\{z_i\}$ belongs to

the open Euclidean ball $B_\epsilon(z_0)$ centered at z_0 of radius ϵ for a sufficiently small ϵ. Next, we write $F_V(z) = F_1(z) + F_2(z)$ where $F_1(z)$ is obtained by the integration of the integrand form in the definition of $F_V(z)$ over $B_\epsilon(z_0) \times [0,1]$ and $F_2(z)$ by the integration of this form over $(B \setminus B_\epsilon(z_0)) \times [0,1]$. Since the integrand forms for $F_2(z_i)$ are uniformly bounded on $(B \setminus B_\epsilon(z_0)) \times [0,1]$ and pointwise converge there as $i \to \infty$ to the integrand form for $F_2(z_0)$, $\lim_{i\to\infty} F_2(z_i) = F_2(z_0)$. To estimate $F_1(z_i)$ we use the substitution $w = \xi - z_i$ and pass to polar coordinates in the obtaining integral. Then it is readily seen that for some $c > 0$

$$|F_1(z_i)| \le cC \cdot diam(B_\epsilon(z_0)) = 2\epsilon cC \ , \quad 0 \le i < \infty \ .$$

Therefore $\lim_{i\to\infty} |F_V(z) - F_V(z_i)| \le 4\epsilon cC$ for any ϵ, that is F_V is continuous at z_0.

Further, for connected components V and W of $r^{-1}(U_i)$ and $r^{-1}(U_j)$ such that $U_i \cap U_j \ne \emptyset$ we set

$$F_{VW}(z) = F_V(z) - F_W(z) \ , \quad z \in V \cap W \ .$$

Since $\overline{\partial} F_{VW} = 0$ in the distributional sense, $F_{VW} \in \mathcal{O}(V \cap W)$. Thus considering all possible V and W we get a holomorphic 1-cocycle $\{F_{VW}\}$ on the cover $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}$ of N'. Moreover, by (2.9) we have

$$\sup_{V,W,z\in V\cap W} |F_{VW}(z)| \le 2C' \ .$$

This implies that the direct image of $\{F_{VW}\}$ with respect to r is a holomorphic 1-cocycle on the cover $\mathcal{U}$ with values in a holomorphic Banach vector bundle with the fibre $l_\infty(X)$ where X is the fibre of the covering $r : S' \to S$, see the proof of Theorem 2.1 in [Br] for details. Repeating literally the main argument from the proof of this theorem (based on a Banach valued version of Cartan's B theorem) together with the fact that there is a Stein neigbourhood N_1 of $\overline{S}$ such that $N_1 \subset N$ we get holomorphic functions $f_V \in \mathcal{O}(V \cap S')$ such that

(1)
$$f_V(z) - f_W(z) = F_{VW}(z) \ , \quad z \in (V \cap W) \cap S' \ , \quad \text{and}$$

(2)
$$\sup_{z\in V\cap S'} |f_V(z)| \le \widetilde{C}$$

where $\widetilde{C}$ depends on C', N_1 and the cover $\mathcal{U}$ only.

Let us define a continuous function F on S' by the formula

$$F(z) := F_V(z) - f_V(z) \ , \quad z \in V \cap S' \ . \tag{2.10}$$

According to (2.9) and condition (2) F is bounded. Also, it satisfies (in the sense of distributions) the equation $\overline{\partial} F = \omega$ on S'. Since $\omega \equiv 0$ outside $\overline{D}$, the function F is holomorphic there. Observe that since the boundary of D is connected, the set $S' \setminus \overline{D}$ is connected. Thus the application of Corollary 2.9 of [Br] gives a bounded function

$H \in \mathcal{O}(S')$ such that $H|_{S'\setminus\overline{D}} = F$. We set $\widetilde{F} := F - H$. Then by the definition $\widetilde{F}$ is bounded and continuous on S' equals 0 on $S' \setminus D$. Moreover, $\overline{\partial}\widetilde{F} = \omega$. $\square$

Using this lemma we define

$$\widehat{f}_1(z) = \widetilde{f}_1(z) - \widetilde{F}(z) \ , \quad z \in \overline{D} \ .$$

Then

$$\widehat{f}_1|_{bD} = f_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\partial}\widehat{f}_1(z) = \overline{\partial}\widetilde{f}_1(z) - \overline{\partial}\widetilde{F}(z) = \omega - \omega = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad z \in D \ .$$

This shows that $\widehat{f}_1 \in \mathcal{O}(D) \cap C(\overline{D})$. Thus $\widehat{f}_1$ is the required holomorphic extension of the function f_1. To complete the proof of the Claim it suffices to show that

$$\sup_D |\widehat{f}_1| = \sup_{bD} |f_1| \ .$$

To do this let us consider the product $\widehat{f}_1 \cdot G_{c_1,c_2}$ where G_{c_1,c_2} is the function from (2.3). Since the function $\widetilde{f}_1$ is Lipschitz on S', it satisfies

$$|\widetilde{f}_1(z)| \le c_1 + c_2 d_o(z) \ , \quad z \in S' \ .$$

But $\widetilde{F}$ is bounded on S' and therefore the last inequality implies that

$$|\widehat{f}_1(z)| \le \widetilde{c}_1 + c_2 d_o(z) \ , \quad z \in \overline{D} \ .$$

This and (2.2) show that for any $\epsilon > 0$ there is a positive number R such that for any $z \in \overline{D}$ satisfying $d_o(z) \ge R$ one has

$$|\widehat{f}_1(z) \cdot G_{c_1,c_2}(z)| < \epsilon \ .$$

In particular, there is an R_0 such that

$$\sup_D |\widehat{f}_1 \cdot G_{c_1,c_2}| = \sup_{B_{R_0}\cap\overline{D}} |\widehat{f}_1 \cdot G_{c_1,c_2}|$$

where B_{R_0} is the open ball on S' centered at o of radius R_0. Since $\overline{B}_{R_0} \cap \overline{D}$ is compact and $\widehat{f}_1 \cdot G_{c_1,c_2} \in \mathcal{O}(D) \cap C(\overline{D})$, the last identity implies that

$$\sup_D |\widehat{f}_1 \cdot G_{c_1,c_2}| = \sup_{\overline{B}_{R_0}\cap bD} |\widehat{f}_1 \cdot G_{c_1,c_2}| \le \sup_{bD} |f_1| \ .$$

Finally, observe that $\widehat{f}_1 \cdot G_{c_1,c_2}$ converges pointwise to $\widehat{f}_1$ as $c_1 \to 0$, see (2.2). Therefore we have

$$|\widehat{f}_1(z)| = \lim_{c_1\to 0} |\widehat{f}_1(z) \cdot G_{c_1,c_2}(z)| \le \sup_{bD} |f_1| \ , \quad z \in D \ .$$

This implies the required identity and completes the proof of the Claim and therefore of the theorem. $\square$

2.2. Proof of Corollary 1.3. Let f be a CR-function satisfying the hypotheses

of Corollary 1.3. Since ϕ is uniformly continuous on M' with respect to the path metric d, there is a constant C' such that

$$|\phi(z)| \leq C' d_o(z) \ , \quad z \in M' \ . \tag{2.11}$$

In particular, condition $(1')$ implies condition (1) for f. Thus by Theorem 1.1 there exists an extension $\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{O}(D) \cap C(\overline{D})$ of f.

Since $S \subset\subset M$ in the proof of Theorem 1.1 is strictly pseudoconvex, it follows from [Br, Theorem 2.1] that *for every function $\phi : S' \to \mathbb{R}$ uniformly continuous with respect to the metric d on S' there is a holomorphic function $f_\phi \in \mathcal{O}(S')$ and a constant $C = C(\phi, S')$ such that*

$$|f_\phi(z) - \phi(z)| < C \quad \text{for all} \quad z \in S' \ .$$

Let us consider the function

$$\widetilde{f}(z) := \widehat{f}(z) \cdot e^{-f_\phi(z)} \ , \quad z \in D \ .$$

Then by the hypotheses

$$|\widetilde{f}(z)| \leq e^C \quad \text{for} \quad z \in bD \ .$$

From (2.11) and Theorem 1.1 we obtain for some $c' > 0$ (with $c_2 < c$)

$$|\widetilde{f}(z)| \leq e^{c' e^{c_2 d_o(z)}} \ , \quad z \in D \ . \tag{2.12}$$

Let us take $\widetilde{c}_2$ such that $c_2 < \widetilde{c}_2 < c$ and consider the function $\widetilde{f} \cdot G_{c_1,\widetilde{c}_2}$ where $G_{c_1,\widetilde{c}_2}$ is the function from (2.3). Then from (2.2) and (2.12) it follows that for any $\epsilon > 0$ there is a positive number R such that for any $z \in \overline{D}$ satisfying $d_o(z) \geq R$ one has

$$|\widetilde{f}(z) \cdot G_{c_1,\widetilde{c}_2}(z)| < \epsilon \ .$$

Now we apply the same argument as at the end of the proof of Theorem 1.1 to get

$$\sup_D |\widetilde{f} \cdot G_{c_1,\widetilde{c}_2}| \leq \sup_{bD} |\widetilde{f}| \ .$$

Since $G_{c_1,\widetilde{c}_2}$ converges pointwise to 1 as $c_1 \to 0$, from the last inequality we obtain

$$\sup_D |\widetilde{f}| \leq \sup_{bD} |\widetilde{f}| \leq e^C \ .$$

From here by the definitions of $\widetilde{f}$ and f_ϕ we have

$$|\widehat{f}(z)| \leq e^{2C} \cdot e^{\phi(z)} \ , \quad z \in D \ .$$

Clearly the above arguments give $C = 0$ for $\phi \equiv const.$

This completes the proof of the corollary. $\square$

3. Concluding Remarks.

Let $r : M' \to M$ be an unbranched covering of a Stein manifold M with $dim_{\mathbb{C}} M \geq 2$. We equip M' with a path metric d obtained by the pullback of a Riemannian metric on M. Assume that $D \subset\subset M$ is a domain with a connected C^k-boundary bD, $1 \leq k \leq \infty$. We set $D' = r^{-1}(D)$ and $bD' = r^{-1}(bD)$. Let $\psi : M' \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be a function such that $\log \psi$ is uniformly continuous with respect to d. For every $x \in M$ we introduce the Banach space $l_{p,\psi,x}(M')$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, of functions g on $r^{-1}(x) \subset M'$ with norm

$$|g|_{p,\psi,x} := \left(\sum_{y \in r^{-1}(x)} |g(y)|^p \psi(y) \right)^{1/p} .$$

Next, we introduce the Banach space $\mathcal{H}_{p,\psi}(D')$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, of functions f holomorphic on D' with norm

$$|f|^D_{p,\psi} := \sup_{x \in D} |f|_{p,\psi,x} .$$

In [Br, Theorem 2.7] a sharper version of Corollary 1.3 for domains D' is proved in connection with a certain problem posed by Gromov, Henkin and Shubin [GHS]. Namely it was established that

For every CR-function $f \in C^s(bD')$, $0 \leq s \leq k$, satisfying

$$f|_{r^{-1}(x)} \in l_{p,\psi,x}(M') \quad \text{for all} \quad x \in D \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{x \in bD} |f|_{p,\psi,x} < \infty$$

there exists a function $f' \in \mathcal{H}_{p,\psi}(D') \cap C^s(\overline{D'})$ such that $f'|_{bD'} = f$. Moreover, for some $c = c(M', M, \psi, p)$ one has

$$|f'|^D_{p,\psi} \leq c \sup_{x \in bD} |f|_{p,\psi,x} .$$

It would be interesting to formulate and prove an analog of this result for other unbounded domains in M'. A possible formulation of such a result is as follows.

Let $D \subset M'$ be an unbounded domain with a connected smooth boundary bD such that $r(D) \subset\subset M$. By $dV_{M'}$ and dV_{bD} we denote the Riemannian volume forms on M' and bD, respectively, obtained by the pullback of a Riemannian metric on M. Next, by $H^p_\psi(D)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we denote the Banach space of holomorphic functions g on D with norm

$$\left(\int_{z \in D} |g(z)|^p dV_{M'}(z) \right)^{1/p} .$$

Also, $L^p_\psi(bD)$ stands for the Banach space of functions g on bD with norm

$$\left(\int_{z \in D} |g(z)|^p dV_{bD}(z) \right)^{1/p} .$$

Problem. *Let $f \in L^p_\psi(bD) \cap C(bD)$ be a CR-function. Under what additional conditions on f and bD does there exist $f' \in H^p_\psi(D) \cap C(\overline{D})$ such that $f'|_{bD} = f$?*

References

[Bo] S. Bochner, Analytic and meromorphic continuation by means of Green's formula. Ann. of Math., **44** (1943), 652-673.

[Br] A. Brudnyi, Holomorphic functions of slow growth on coverings of pseudoconvex domains in Stein manifolds. Preprint (2005), 24 pp, available at http://arxiv.org/abs/math.CV/0503408.

[F] B. A. Fuks, Theory of analytic functions of several complex variables. Translated by A. A. Brown, J. M. Danskin and E. Hewitt. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R. I., 1963.

[Fe] H. Federer, Geometric measure theory. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1969.

[GHS] M. Gromov, G. Henkin and M. Shubin, Holomorphic L^2 functions on coverings of pseudoconvex manifolds. GAFA, Vol. 8 (1998), 552-585.

[HL] R. Harvey and H. B. Lawson, On boundaries of complex analytic varieties. I. Ann. of Math. (2) **102** (1975), no. 2, 223-290.

[H] G. Henkin, The method of integral representations in complex analysis. (Russian). Current problems in Mathematics. Fundamental directions, Vol. 7, 23-124, 258, Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki, Acad. Nauk SSSR, Vsesoyuz. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Inform., Moscow, 1985.

[KR] J. J. Kohn and H. Rossi, On the extension of holomorphic functions from the boundary of a complex manifold. Ann. of Math. (2), **81** (1965), 451-472.

[M] E. McShane, Extension of range functions, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **40** (1934), no. 12, 837-842.